HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

January 1997

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

January 1997

CONTENTS

Section	1 Executive Summary1-1
Section	n 2 Facility Description2-1
Section	n 3 Compliance Status3-1
Section	n 4 Remedial Actions4-1
Section	5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1
Section	n 6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1
	List of Tables
Table	
1	Summary Program Information for Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
3	Remedial Actions Required at Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Beaver Creek Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. The hatchery is located on the Elochoman River about 10 miles upstream from the river mouth. The Elochoman River is a north bank tributary of the lower Columbia River, just downstream of Cathlamet, Washington. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of winter steelhead and sea-run cutthroat trout. It is also used for incubation and rearing of summer steelhead.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead Results

The Beaver Creek facility includes 2 ponds for adult holding, 20 concrete raceways, 1 earthen rearing pond, 10 intermediate raceways, and incubation facilities. Beaver Creek Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and began operating in 1957 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program -- a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric development in the Columbia River Basin.

The Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery needed to document its adult contribution and smolt-to-adult survival. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the water quality monitoring criteria, needed to increase the supply of disease-free water to early rearing, and needed more incubation, early rearing, and rearing facilities. The intake on Beaver Creek did not meet the approach or screen mesh criteria and needed to be replaced. The hatchery was direct releasing some smolts and needed to construct acclimation facilities for all releases. The hatchery needed to development specific incubation and rearing criteria for the IHOT Operations Plan, and a smoltification goal and monitoring program. The hatchery was not meeting all of the food storage and transportation requirements. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program in place.

The specific areas in which the Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Construct 10 more standard raceways
- Construct 2 more half stack vertical tray incubators
- Construct 40 more troughs and enlarge building
- Construct 6 more intermediate raceways
- Construct acclimation ponds for 5 release site out of subbasin
- Construct disinfection system for incubation and early rearing
- Construct new 20 cfs intake screen for Beaver Creek
- Develop alarm log
- Develop approved genetics M&E program
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Document adult contribution
- Document release dates
- Document smolt-to-adult survival
- Evaluate release facilities to ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions
- Follow IHOT criteria for water temperature in hauling units
- Follow IHOT QA/QC testing protocols for feed production monitoring
- Follow IHOT requirements for disinfection of exteriors and interiors of transport vehicles
- Follow IHOT requirements to check flow alarms daily
- Follow the IHOT criteria for incubation flow
- Install alarms for water treatment system
- Install security alarms

- Monitor and record DO and TGP
- Review program and water temperature criteria for rearing
- Review the need for insulation of automatic feeders and bulk storage facilities
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Beaver Creek Hatchery

Stock/Species: Winter Steelhead

Summer Steelhead Sea-run Cutthroat

Operating Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: Mitchell Act (NMFS)

Location: The hatchery is located on the Elochoman River about 10 miles

upstream from the river mouth. The Elochoman River is a north bank tributary of the lower Columbia River, just downstream of Cathlamet,

Washington.

Address: 28 Beaver Creek Road

Cathlamet, WA 98612

Hatchery Manager: Dick Aksamit

Phone: (360) 795-3620 **Fax:** (360) 795-0827

Purpose: Beaver Creek Hatchery was authorized under the Mitchell Act and

began operating in 1957 as part of the Columbia River Fisheries Development Program -- a program to mitigate for fishery losses caused by hydroelectric development in the Columbia River Basin.

Production Goal: Winter Steelhead

Produce 260,000 smolts for on-station and off-station releases.

Summer Steelhead

Produce 230,000 smolts for on-station and off-station releases.

Sea-run Cutthroat

Produce 50,000 smolts for on-station and off-station releases.

Water Supply: Water rights total 16,013 gpm from three sources: Elochoman River,

Beaver Creek, and a well. Beaver Creek is gravity flow while the other two sources are pumped. The Elochoman River is used in summer and fall while Beaver Creek water is used from mid-November through mid-May. Filtered well water (1 cfs) is used to incubate eggs and for

early rearing.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 1 upper adult trap -138 cf

1 lower adult trap - 126 cf

2 adult holding raceways - 4,327 cf each

Incubation: 2 vertical tray incubators (16 trays)

320 bucket incubators

40 shallow troughs - 8 cf each

20 shallow troughs - 5 cf each

Early Rearing: 10 intermediate raceways - 209 cf each

Raceways: 20 concrete raceways - 1,636 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 1 earth rearing pond - 217,800 cf

Satellite Facilities: Gobar Pond

1 earth pond - 243,000 cf

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report). The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Beaver Creek Hatchery was conducted on November 19, 1996.

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (\checkmark) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- **Yes** (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- No (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Component		Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing												
	Skamania Hatchery	Beaver Creek Hatchery	Gobar Pond	Direct Releases at 5 Rivers										
Adult Collection	~													
Adult Holding	~													
Spawning	~													
Fertilization	~													
Incubation														
green-to-eyed	~													
eyed-to-hatch		~												
Rearing														
fry		~												
fingerlings		~												
smolts		~												
Acclimation/release		~	v	v										

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No		o o mpi mi o	
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin agement plan?		~			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Mitchell Act		
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery cational plan?		~			IHOT Operations Plan and Beaver Creek Hatchery Operations Procedures		
s it understood by staff?		·					
it being followed?		'					
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?							
o you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		•			100% of fish adipose clipped; evaluation done by Howard Fuss and Jim Byrne and reported in annual report		
lt contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hery				~	No information provided	Document adult contribution	
lt pre-spawning survival as compared with blished goal	V				No adult holding at this hatchery		
-take as compared with established hatchery goal	/				No spawning at this hatchery		
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with blished goal	V				Eggs eyed at Skamania Hatchery		
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established		~			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years		
to smolt survival as compared with established goal				~	Review of records; in compliance 0 out of last 3 years	Construct disinfection system for incubation and early rearing	
luction as compared with established goal				~	Review of records; in compliance 2 out of last 3 years	See above	
eent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal				~	No information provided	Document smolt-to-adult survival	
nber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults eet basinwide needs		~			Review of records/Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
	N/A Yes ? No				Non-Compliance	Compliance	
nperature							
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for pawning?	~				No spawning at hatchery		
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for neubation?		~			Data provided		
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for earing?				~	High summer temperatures to 72 °F	Review program and water temperature criteria for rearing	
solved gases							
s the oxygen level near saturation?			~		No data provided	Monitor DO and record	
s the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			~		No data provided	Monitor TGP and record	
emistry							
Ammonia (un-ionized) Carbon Dioxide Chlorine H Copper			>>>>		No recent data	Run analysis See above See above See above See above	
lydrogen Sulfide			✓		No recent data	See above	
on Eng			\ \ \ \		No recent data No recent data	See above See above	
inc bidity					No recent data	See above	
Ooes your turbidity meet the criteria?			~		No measurements	Run analysis	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•	
alinity and hardness							
oes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			~		No recent data	Run analysis	
ite							
oes your nitrite meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis	
ontaminants							
aldrin Indrin Dieldrin Ieptachlor Chlordane Iethoxychlor Indane Ialathion Suthion			לננננננ		No data	Run analysis See above	
hogens What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water? Adult holding Incubation Early rearing Rearing Others	v	V		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Adult holding at Skamania Hatchery Wellwater Wellwater River water River Water	None None	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No		Compnance	
rm Systems							
To the following areas have alarms?							
Intake Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities Water treatment systems	V	1111		V	Interview / Inspection of facilities None Interview / Inspection of facilities	Install alarms for water treatment system	
Security				~	Interview / Inspection of facilities	Install security alarms	
are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite esidences?				~	No buzzers in onsite residences but can hear outside buzzer from residence	None	
are water flow alarms checked daily?				~	Interview / Inspection of facilities	Follow IHOT requirements to check flow alarms daily	
are all other alarms checked weekly?		~			Interview / Inspection of facilities	didinis dairy	
there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and naintenance requirements?				•	Interview / Inspection of facilities	Develop alarm log	
are telephone pagers used?		~			Interview / Inspection of facilities		
ılt collection and holding facilities							
To you meet the adult holding criteria?	~				No adult holding		

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•	
ıbation facilities							
'ype 1: Verticals O you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?				~	Interview	Install 2 more half stacks	
ype 2: Shallow Troughs To you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?				•	Interview	Need additional 40 troughs and enlarge building	
ring facilities							
'ype 1: <u>Intermediate Raceways</u> No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Construct 6 more intermediate raceways	
ype 2: Standard Raceways O you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Construct 10 more standard raceways	
ype 3: Adult Ponds O you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	•				No used for STS		
'ype 4: <u>1-acre rearing ponds</u>		~			Interview / Inspection		

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
eening facilities (Beaver Creek)						
o you meet the approach velocity criteria?				~	Inspection	Construct new 20 cfs intake screen for Beaver Creek
re the fish screens regularly cleaned?		~			Inspection, on a timer	
Ooes the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?				~	Inspection	See above
are rearing containers double screened for fish that hould not be released to adjacent water?		~			Inspection	
eening facilities (Elochoman)						
o you meet the approach velocity criteria?		~			Inspection	
are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		~			Inspection, on a timer	
oes the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		~			Inspection	
re rearing containers double screened for fish that nould not be released to adjacent water?		•			Inspection	
dator control facilities						
are your predation control facilities effective?		~			Inspection	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A Yes ? No				1	•	
d storage facilities and quality control							
Noes the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) ollow food manufacturer's recommendations?		•			Interview / Inspection		
Does a regional quality control officer oversee roduction procedures and monitor:							
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				~	Interview / Inspection	Follow IHOT QA/QC testing protocols for feed production monitoring	
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				~	Interview / Inspection	See above	
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				~	Interview / Inspection	See above	
are the foods stored and handled according to the ollowing criteria?							
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.		~			Interview / Inspection		
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		~			Interview / Inspection		
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		~			Interview / Inspection		
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		~			Interview / Inspection		
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).				•	Not thought to be a problem	Review the need for insulation of automatic feeders and bulk storage facilities	

Description of Performance Measure		Complia	ice Stati	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1		
ease facilities							
To the release facilities ensure that fish are not abjected to adverse conditions?			~		Can not observe fish after release	Evaluate release facilities to ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions	
ution abatement facilities							
To the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal nd state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		•			Interview / Inspection		
re pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		~			Interview / Inspection		
nsportation facilities							
are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?		~			Interview / Inspection		

Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A Yes ? No			No	[*]	•	
odstock selection practices							
s the donor selection process document attached? (PM 40a)	~				Existing program; does not apply		
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	•				Existing program; does not apply		
wning practices							
Vere the appropriate number of spawners, male/female atios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)	•				No spawning at this hatchery		
abation practices							
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				~	Review IHOT Operations Plan	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan	
incubation practices written?		~			Posted in incubation building		
ibation Type 1: <u>Vertical (see PM #8)</u> you meet the loading and flow criteria?				~	Use only 3 gpm/stack	Follow IHOT criteria for incubation flow	
ibation Type 2: <u>Troughs</u> (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?				~	Discussion	See PM #8	

Description of Performance Measure		Complian	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Rem Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		· ·
ring practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				•	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
rearing practices written?				~		See above
tearing Unit Type 1: Intermediate (see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria? tearing Unit Type 2: Standard (see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria? tearing Unit Type 3: adult pond (see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	~	>>		~	Review of data Review of data Not used for STS Not used for STS	Construct 10 additional standard raceways
tearing Unit Type 4: 1 acre pond Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		~		~	Review of data Review of data	Construct 10 additional standard raceways
olt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?		/			Interview	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Stati	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
health management practices						
are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being onducted? (PM #26)		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
are the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? PM #27)		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
s there pathogen-free water and are the sanitation rocedures being followed? (PM #28)				•	Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	Develop additional disease-free water for early rearing
are the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry			V	•		See PM #5a See PM #5b See PM #5c
Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite			777			See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f
Contaminants			~			See PM #5g
are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				~		See PM #19
are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? PM #31)		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	1
s hatchery performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
cent smoltification No you measure percent smoltification? No you have a smoltification goal? No you meet the smoltification criteria?			V	V	Discussion No criteria Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor See above See above
ring density (prior to release)						
Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to elease?		~			Data provided	
ease condition (at release)						
Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to elease?		~			Release when authorized by the state.	
nber (at release)						
id you meet the release number goal?				~	In compliance 2 our last 3 years	Construct disinfection system for incubation and early rearing
at release						
Did you meet the size goal?		~			Data provided	
es of release						
Did you meet the release date goal?			/		No data	Document release dates
ation of release						
Did you release the fish at the specified location?		~			Data provided	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin? The tree the fish reared in the subbasin? The tree the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				7	Interview There are direct stream releases in Lewis, Kalama, North Fork Toutle, South Fork Toutle, and Green rivers	Construct acclimation ponds for all releases
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?				~	No acclimation	See PM #22b

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
nsportation facilities						
To transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		~			Interview	
the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 00 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or ormaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity f 60% for 2 hrs)?		~			Interview	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				•	Interview	Follow IHOT requirements for disinfection of exteriors and interiors of transport vehicles
the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 pm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% tock solution/liter water)?				~	Interview	See above
s other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, ets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and ther equipment using one of the following solutions?		~			Interview	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes		•			Intorvious	
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes					Interview	
Oo personnel wear protective garments when handling sh eggs or cultural water?		~			Interview	
On the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive in inspection and service prior to the release season?		~			Interview	
s a daily service inspection completed before starting p and leaving for the day?		~			Interview	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
nsportation facilities						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior ploading?		~			Interview	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water evel, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system ettings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling ensity tables checked and reviewed occur prior to pading fish in the transport unit?		>			Interview	
On hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes of 1 hour after loading?		~			Interview	
When fish are active and systems are functioning roperly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and naintained at approximately 8 ppm?		~			Interview	
water temperature in the transportation unit naintained within the 42-48 °F range?				•	Use ambient water	Follow IHOT criteria for water temperature in hauling unit
To fish releasing procedures include the following riteria?		~			Interview	
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		~			Interview	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		~			Interview	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		•			Interview	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	-
luation practices						
as the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies o:						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		~			Interview	
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		~			Interview	
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		~			Interview	
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		~			Interview	
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		~			Interview	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	-
ning practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		~			Interview	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		•			Interview	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		~			Interview	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		~			Interview	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		~			Interview	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being ducted by a qualified fish health specialist as cribed below?						
conduct visit at least monthly		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
Ionitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
xamine a representative sample of healthy and noribund fish from each lot.		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
eview fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
eport finding and results of necropsies on standard orm.		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
ecommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
ummarize fish health status or stock prior to release or ransfer to another facility.		~			Review of records at state lab by audit team pathologist	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly nitoring visits being completed as described below?						
annually examine each broodstock for the presence of eportable viral pathogens.	~				At Skamania	
nnually screen each salmon broodstock for the resence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .	v				ıı .	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of ualified fish health specialist.	>				ıı .	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
ne hatchery following accepted sanitation cedures?						
re there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially r incubation and early rearing?				•	Interview	Develop additional disease-free water supply for early rearing
re the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and eing followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		•			Interview	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?		~			Interview	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?		•			Interview	
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		~			Interview	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		~			Interview	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		~			Interview	
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		•			Interview	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
water quality parameters being followed?						
re the following water quality parameters within iteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature				~	Data provided	See PM #5a
Dissolved gases			~		No data	See PM #5b
Chemistry			✓		No data	See PM #5c
Turbidity			✓		No data	See PM #5d
Alkalinity and hardness			✓		No data	See PM #5e
Nitrite			✓		No data	See PM #5f
Contaminants			/		No data	See PM #5g
o to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)				•	Data provided	See PM #18
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)				~	Data provided	See PM #19
o to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		~			Interview	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		~			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Mitchell Act	
o to subbasin plan PM #1	!					
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		~			IHOT Operations Plan and Beaver Creek Hatchery Operations Procedures	
o to operational plan PM #2						
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		•			100% of fish adipose clipped; evaluation done by Howard Fuss and Jim Byrne and reported in annual report	
to to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Remedi Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		F
the hatchery program meet requirements						
olished in the regional hatchery policies and						
asin planning documents in the following areas:						
es, stock, broodstock collection location, dstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,						
spawning and egg-take protocols?						
pes the hatchery program meet the requirements for						
e following?						
Species protocols (PM #4a)	~				No spawning at his hatchery	
Stock protocols (PM #4a)	~				See above	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)	~				See above	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	~				See above	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)	~				See above	
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	~				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	~				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•	
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: cent smoltification, rearing density, disease dition, and the number, size date(s), and location of ase?							
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)				~	No criteria	See PM #22a1	
earing density (PM #22a2)		~			Data provided		
visease condition (PM #22a3)		~			Interview		
Sumber at release (PM #22a4)				~	Interview	See PM #22a4	
ize at release (PM #22a5)		~			Interview		
Pate of release (PM #22a6)			~		No data	See PM #22a6	
ocation of release (PM #22a7)		~			Interview		
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?				~	Interview	See PM #22b	
PM #22b							
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?				~	Interview	See PM #22b	
PM #22c							

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	-
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan n developed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	•					
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
s the broodstock collection plan understood and being ollowed by staff?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline owed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
s a donor selection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne broodstock?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the target stock recommended in the donor election process actually used?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A Yes ? No		No	_	-	
existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?						
s the broodstock collection plan written?	~				No spawning at this hatchery	
Ooes the broodstock collection plan follow the uideline:						
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	~			<u> </u>	See above	
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				See above	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?	•				See above	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes ?		No	_	-	
s the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?							
are the spawning protocols written?	•				No spawning at this hatchery		
are daily or weekly spawning logs available?	~				See above		
Vas the appropriate number of spawners used?	~				See above		
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and andomize mating with respect to age class, and other raits?	~				See above		
Vas the sex-ratio within the limits given in the erformance standards?	•				See above		
Vere the fertilization protocols followed?	~				See above		
the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs etained, was this done by representative sampling of ach male/female cross?	~				See above		

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
nere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program lace?						
s a genetics monitoring and evaluation program vailable?				~	Interview	Develop approved genetics M & E program
Ooes the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				~	Interview	See above
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				~	Interview	See above
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				~	Interview	See above
Is the program understood and followed by staff?				~	Interview	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
None		4h, 41, 42
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Document adult contribution		4a
Document smolt-to-adult survival		4h
Review program and water temperature criteria for rearing		5a
Develop alarm log		6
Follow IHOT requirements to check flow alarms daily		6
Follow IHOT QA/QC testing protocols for feed production monitoring		12
Review the need for insulation of automatic feeders and bulk storage facilities		12
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan		18-19
Follow the IHOT criteria for incubation flow		18
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Document release dates		22a6
Follow IHOT requirements for disinfection of exteriors and interiors of transport vehicles		23
Follow IHOT criteria for water temperature in hauling units		23
Develop approved genetics M&E program		43
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor and record DO and TGP		5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		5c-5g

-

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Install alarms for water treatment system	\$5,000	6
Install security alarms	\$5,000	6
Construct 2 more half stack vertical tray incubators	\$2,000	8
Construct 40 more troughs and enlarge building	\$15,000	8
Construct 6 more intermediate raceways	\$40,000	9
Construct 10 more standard raceways	\$250,000	9, 19
Construct new 20 cfs intake screen for Beaver Creek	\$300,000	10
Construct acclimation ponds for 5 release sites out of subbasin	\$1,750,000	22b, 22c
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Provide more disease-free water for incubation and early rearing		4f, 4g, 22a4
Evaluate release facilities to ensure that fish are not subjected to adverse conditions		13

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Year	Fisheries ¹ (Broodyear)	Spawning Grounds ¹ (Broodyear)	Hatchery ¹ (Broodyear)	Total Combined Contribution ² (Broodyear)	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
1982	(2.000)	(E. Coujou.)	(2.004)04.7	(2.004)04.7	
1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987					
1988	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided
1989	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided
1990	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided
1991	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided
1992	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided	No information provided

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in a separate table (Tables 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
Beaver Creek	\$109,474	\$135,265	\$131,826
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$109,474	\$135,265	\$131,826

The total expenditures for the Beaver Creek Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Table 6a, 6b, 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Beaver Creek Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
Winter Steelhead	\$181,652	\$345,632	\$182,172
2. Summer Steelhead	\$109,474	\$135,265	\$131,826
3. Sea-run cutthroat	\$14,000	\$56,511	\$38,755
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$360,000	\$546,642	\$375,717

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Beaver Creek Hatchery - Summer Steelhead

Expenditure Occurring at Beaver Creek Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,800	\$179,700	\$157,668
Operational Costs	\$79,200	\$86,050	\$150,477
Capital Costs		\$167,000	
		(new generator)	
Indirect Costs	\$108,000	\$113,892	\$67,572
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$360,000	\$546,642	\$375,717
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (#)	215,567	78,010	278,270
Total Production (#)	708,882	315,260	793,098
Program as Percent of Total	30.4%	24.7%	35.1%
Program Costs	\$109,474	\$135,265	\$131,826

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Beaver Creek Hatchery by Program

Winter Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,800	\$179,700	\$157,668
Operational Costs	\$79,200	\$86,050	\$150,477
Capital Costs	. ,	\$167,000	
		(new generator)	
Indirect Costs	\$108,000	\$113,892	\$67,572
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹	,	, ,,,,,	+ - 1
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$360,000	\$546,642	\$375,717
Source of Funds	+,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (#)	357,694	199,333	384,545
Total Production (#)	708,882	315,260	793,098
Program as Percent of Total	50.5%	63.2%	48.5%
Program Costs	\$181,652	\$345,632	\$182,172

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Beaver Creek Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,800	\$179,700	\$157,668
Operational Costs	\$79,200	\$86,050	\$150,477
Capital Costs		\$167,000	
		(new generator)	
Indirect Costs	\$108,000	\$113,892	\$67,572
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$360,000	\$546,642	\$375,717
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)	215,567	78,010	278,270
Total Production (lb)	708,882	315,260	793,098
Program as Percent of Total	30.4%	24.7%	35.1%
Program Costs	\$109,474	\$135,265	\$131,826

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Beaver Creek Hatchery by Program

Sea-run Cutthroat

Component	1994	1995	1996
Component	100-1	1000	1000
Personnel Costs	\$172,800	\$179,700	\$157,668
Operational Costs	\$79,200	\$86,050	\$150,477
Capital Costs		\$167,000	
		(new generator)	
Indirect Costs	\$108,000	\$113,892	\$67,572
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$360,000	\$546,642	\$375,717
Source of Funds			
NMFS	100%	100%	100%
			_
Program Production (lb)	27,568	32,591	81,808
Total Production (lb)	708,882	315,260	793,098
Program as Percent of Total	3.9%	10.3%	10.3%
Program Costs	\$14,000	\$56,511	\$38,755

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.